

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,627	11/20/2003	Koichi Fujisawa	0020-5197P	6347
2292	7590 10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			MULCAHY, PETER D	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1713	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/716,627	FUJISAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No.	ovember 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	:				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	, , , , ,	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	; ;				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau	·	d III tilis National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	· · · · · ·	d.				
	,					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/05.	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-152)				
		<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/716,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molitor et al. US 4,650,193 taken alone or in view of either one of Hamada et al. US 4,929,678 or Kakiuchi et al. US 4,683,257.

Molitor et al. US 4,650,193 teaches golf ball compositions which are based upon high cis polybutadiene, see column 3 lines 16+. The incorporation of the claimed peroxide, co-crosslinker and fatty acid is shown at column 4 lines 26-36. The incorporation of fillers is disclosed at column 3 lines 43+. The difference between the claimed composition and that claimed is the polybutadiene is not expressly stated as being formed with a lanthanide containing catalyst. The polybutadiene suggested in the patent is not identified as being formed using any particular catalyst. As such, the art is

Application/Control Number: 10/716,627 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

seen to be generic to the claimed polybutadiene. The selection of a polybutadiene being formed with a lanthanide catalyst is considered obvious. The secondary references art supplied as showing the use of the claimed polybutadiene. It would be obvious to select the polybutadiene shown in the secondary references given that it is shown to be useful in the compositions of the primary reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter D. Mulcany Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713